On sensible harvest rate

Einar Hjörleifsson

Marine Research Institute, Reykjavík

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) のQ(()

Overview of the presentation

A riddle

- Characteristics of fisheries data
- The illusive maximum sustainable yield

Will use the Icelandic cod data and analysis as a illustrative case:

- Review of the pattern in fisheries data
- Glimpse into how we do HCR evaluations and the principal pattern observed

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

A riddle

The catch equation:

Catch = Harvest rate * Biomass

Only two options provided for managers:

- A 500 kt biomass and a harvest rate of 0.4
- A 1000 kt biomass and a harvest rate of 0.2

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Which scenario would or should one pick?

Characteristics of fisheries dynamics

Landings



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

Landings by age



▲□▶▲□▶▲≡▶▲≡▶ = 三 のへ⊙

Landings by age and year classes



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ = 臣 = のへで

Survey indices



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ● □ ● ● ● ●

Landings by age and year classes (Herring)



◆□ > ◆□ > ◆豆 > ◆豆 > ̄豆 = のへで

Dynamics

The signal in the data becomes apparent when following year classes General characteristic of catch (fisheries) and survey data:

- Year class size is generally determined at a young age
- Year class sizes can be highly variable between consecutive years
- A year class contributes to the total catches over a number of years

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

 How quickly year classes declines is a measure of fishing pressure

Stock assessment (Cod)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ●□ ● ●

Stock assessment (Herring)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

HCR evaluations and the illusive maximum sustainable yield

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ 臣▶ ◆ 臣▶ ○ 臣 ○ の Q @

Question

Taking into account:

- Assessment error
- Growth
- Stock-recruitment dynamics
- Long term concerns/view

what is the most sensible harvest rate (fishing mortality) when making decision on next years catch?:

TAC = Harvest rate * Biomass

To solve the riddle we currently use a HCR simulation framework

Stock assessment and uncertainty (Cod)



э

Highest uncertainty in the terminal (decision) year

Assessment error

Stock biomass Contemporary estimates 2000 -1500 1000 500 -2000 1960 1980

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

Assessment error - ratio

Joining by: "year"



A stock recruitment scenario



Higher frequency of poor recruitment below ~ 220 kt spawning stock

Randomness in future recruitment - 4 iterations



Pessimistic future recruitment scenario

▲日▼▲□▼▲田▼▲田▼ 回 ろくの

Weight at age modeled as a random process



▲ロト▲圖ト▲語ト▲語ト 語 のへで

Results: Catch and stock dynamics



◆ロ ▶ ◆昼 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ◆臣 ▶ ─ 臣 ─ のへで

Summary of the general pattern

Within a certain range increased harvest rate in a decision rule leads to:

- Short term
 - A temporary gain in catches
- Long term considerations (sustainability)
 - Little or no gain in mean catches
 - Catches become more variable
 - Biomass decreases (~ exponentially)
 - Probability of stock size going below some undesirable size increases

Under long term considerations



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

Deciding on a multiplier in a HCR

- The evaluation methodology is pretty much in place
- ► The results are pretty much the same, irrespective of stock:
 - Over some range sensible of harvest rates the catch does not change much

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Over this range the stock declines (~ exponentially)

Deciding on a multiplier in a HCR

What complicates things and delays actions:

- Biology:
 - Uncertain stock recruitment relationship
 - The potentiality of density-dependence
 - Single species considerations
- Complexity of the decision rule requested to be evaluated
 - Simpler rules are better
- The number of HCR options requested to explore
 - The results are more or less the same
- Frequency of "special requests"
- ► Managers focus/obsession on getting the maximum "squeezable" harvest rate from the fisheries scientists (the illusive *F*_{msy})
 - A short term focus

Thanks

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 りへぐ